## ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

## **CLIENT ADVISORY**

## **MARCH 2009**

## UPDATED ANALYSIS: PREEMPTION AFTER WYETH v. LEVINE

Last week, we circulated a Client Advisory on the Supreme Court's decision in *Wyeth* v. *Levine*, 555 US \_\_\_\_ (Mar. 4, 2009)<sup>1</sup>, which ruled against preemption in a failure to warn case against a pharmaceutical company. Among other things, the Advisory explained that even though the decision limited the range of permissible preemption arguments, the defense remained viable in certain contexts. Just a few days later, the first major appellate opinion interpreting Levine confirmed that view. In *McCarrell* v. *Hoffman-La Roche*, Docket No. A-3280-07T1 (N.J. App. Div. Mar. 12, 2008)<sup>2</sup>, the Appellate Division of the New Jersey Superior Court reasoned that a company can establish preemption by presenting "clear evidence" that the FDA would not have approved the label change urged by the plaintiff. The Appellate Division implied that such "clear evidence" might include a showing that the company advocated for a stronger warning and the FDA rejected it. How wide this window is remains to be seen. The decision confirms, however, that companies should continue to consider the preemption defense in appropriate cases and should revisit their regulatory strategies to bolster the defense in the future.

Brussels +32 (0)2 290 7800

**Denver** +1 303.863.1000

**London** +44 (0)20 7786 6100

Los Angeles +1 213.243.4000

New York +1 212.715.1000

Northern Virginia +1 703.720.7000

San Francisco +1 415.356.3000

Washington, DC +1 202.942.5000

We hope that you have found this client advisory useful. If you have additional questions, please contact your Arnold & Porter attorney or:

Robert N. Weiner +1 202.942.5855 Robert.Weiner@aporter.com

Anand Agneshwar +1 212.715.1107 Anand.Agneshwar@aporter.com

Daniel A. Kracov +1 202.942.5120 Daniel.Kracov@aporter.com

Jeffrey L. Handwerker +1 202.942.6103 Jeffrey.Handwerker@aporter.com

This advisory is intended to be a general summary of the law and does not constitute legal advice. You should consult with competent counsel to determine applicable legal requirements in a specific fact situation.

arnoldporter.com

<sup>1</sup> Available at: <u>http://www.arnoldporter.com/public\_document.cfm?id=14168&key=910#zoom=100</u>.

<sup>2</sup> Available at: <u>http://njcourts.judiciary.state.nj.us/web0/opinions/a3280-07.pdf</u>.